

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/443,204 | FETKOVICH ET AL. |
| | Examiner Matthew Heneghan | Art Unit 2134 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(3) _____.

(2) Kevin Radigan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2006

Time: 11:00 AM EDT

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Previously cited 103 rejections of all claims

Claims discussed:

1,2

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6157719 (Wasilewski et al.)

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

COUES LOUIS-JACQUES
COPYRIGHT EXAMINER
BIOLOGY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated that the previous rejections would be withdrawn in view of the arguments in Applicant's pending Appeal Brief, but that Wasilewski was found to anticipate the existing independent claims; an offer by the examiner to issue an allowance based upon amending each independent claim as per claims 2, 19, and 29 was accepted.